

Planting density and yield of cassava roots¹

Densidade de plantio e rendimento de raízes de mandioca

Tiago Santos Silva², Paulo Sérgio Lima e Silva^{3*}, Jéfferson Dantas Braga³, Lindomar Maria da Silveira² e Roberto Pequeno de Sousa²

ABSTRACT - In Mata Fresca, an area located on the border of the states of Rio Grande do Norte and Ceará, in Brazil, small farmers have a source of income from the production of cassava roots, using planting densities of around 5,000 plants ha⁻¹. This procedure might be helping to limit higher yields of the roots, since some studies have shown that it is possible to obtain higher yields of cassava using higher densities. The objective of this study was to evaluate the root yield and other characteristics of the cassava, as a response to planting density. The Vermelhinha cultivar was submitted to planting densities of from 5,000 to 21,000 plants ha⁻¹, at intervals of 2,000 plants ha⁻¹, in an experiment under irrigation. A completely randomized block design with four replications was used. The ideal planting densities in order to maximise leaf green matter, stems and branches, total roots, total marketable roots, number of marketable roots, marketable-root dry matter and stem dry matter, were 17,800; 17,077; 14,416; 13,594; 16,436; 12,361; and 18,149 plants ha⁻¹ respectively. When adopting the planting density used by the farmers, a yield for marketable roots of 15,837 kg ha⁻¹ was obtained. By using the optimal density as found in this work (13,594 plants ha⁻¹), the yield was more than double that of the farmers. Increasing planting density reduced both the length of the marketable roots and the harvest index (the ratio of marketable-root dry matter to total plant dry matter).

Key words: *Manihot esculenta*. Spacing. Plant populations.

RESUMO - Na Mata Fresca, área situada na divisa dos Estados do Rio Grande do Norte e Ceará, pequenos agricultores têm como fonte de renda a obtida com a produção de raízes de mandioca, usando densidades de plantio em torno de 5.000 plantas ha⁻¹. Esse procedimento pode estar limitando a obtenção de maiores rendimentos de raízes, pois alguns trabalhos demonstraram que é possível a obtenção de rendimentos maiores da mandioca, com maiores densidades. O objetivo do trabalho foi avaliar o rendimento de raízes e outras características da mandioca em resposta à densidade de plantio. A cultivar Vermelhinha foi submetida às densidades de plantio de 5.000 a 21.000 plantas ha⁻¹, com intervalos de 2.000 plantas ha⁻¹, em experimento irrigado. Utilizou-se o delineamento de blocos completos casualizados com quatro repetições. As densidades de plantios ideais para maximizar a obtenção de matérias frescas de folhas, caule + ramos, total de raízes, de raízes comercializáveis, número de raízes comercializáveis, e de matérias secas de raízes comercializáveis e da parte aérea foram de 17.800; 17.077; 14.416; 13.594; 16.436; 12.361 e 18.149 plantas ha⁻¹, respectivamente. Com a adoção da densidade de plantio usada pelos agricultores, obtiveram-se 15.837 kg ha⁻¹ de raízes comercializáveis. Com o uso da densidade ideal encontrada no presente trabalho (13.594 plantas ha⁻¹), o rendimento foi mais do dobro do rendimento do agricultor. O aumento da densidade de plantio reduziu o comprimento das raízes comercializáveis e o índice de colheita (relação entre a matéria seca das raízes comercializáveis e a matéria seca total da planta).

Palavras-chave: *Manihot esculenta*. Plantas-populações. Plantas-espacamento.

*Autor para correspondência

¹Recebido para publicação em 21/10/2010; aprovado em 11/09/2012

Part of the graduation dissertation of the first author

²Departamento de Fitotecnia, Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-Árido/UFERSA, Caixa Postal 137, Mossoró-RN, Brasil, 59.600-970, tiagronomo_santos19@hotmail.com, lindomarmaria@ufersa.edu.br, rpequeno@ufersa.edu.br

³Departamento de Fitotecnia/UFERSA, Caixa Postal 137, Mossoró-RN, Brasil, 59.600-970, paulosergio@ufersa.edu.br, eng.jefferson@uol.com.br

INTRODUCTION

The cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is the crop that produces a higher energy quantity, followed by corn, rice cocoyam, sorghum and potato (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007). It is the major staple starch of the people in most parts of the tropics (AYOOLA; MAKINDE, 2007).

In the so-called Mata Fresca region, an area located on the border between the states of Rio Grande do Norte and Ceará, Brazil, the main source of income for small growers is obtained from the exploitation of cassava, whose roots are sold commercially. The production system has the following characteristics, among others: monocrops of cassava under drip irrigation, no fertilization, at planting densities around 5,000 plants ha⁻¹ (2.0 m × 1.0 m). The adoption of such low planting density may limit higher yields, since it is possible to obtain higher cassava yields at higher planting densities in monocropping (GUERRA *et al.*, 2003; ROJAS *et al.*, 2007) and intercropping (AYOOLA; MAKINDE, 2008).

Planting density probably influences all crop traits. In cassava, the distance between plant rows has influenced plant height, stem and canopy diameter, number of leaves, and root yield (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007). The distance between plants on the row has influenced all traits previously mentioned, except plant height and stem diameter (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007). In addition, planting density maintains a relation with other components of the crop's production system, including cultivars, water, and applied nutrients, competition with weeds, and incidence of diseases and pests (AYOOLA; MAKINDE, 2007; LÓPEZ-BELLIDO *et al.*, 2005; OPARA-NADI; LAL, 2006).

Due to the importance of planting density, several studies have been conducted with cassava, at planting densities that ranged from 6,666 plants ha⁻¹ (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007) to 27,777 plants ha⁻¹ (GUERRA *et al.*, 2003). Larger populations (of up to 50,000 plants ha⁻¹) have been tested to determine their effects on the above-ground part of the plant (LIMA *et al.*, 2002). Densities to evaluate their effects on root yield were obtained both by varying of the spacing between plants and keeping the spacing between rows constant or by varying of the spacing between rows and between plants. Studies (GUERRA *et al.*, 2003; ROJAS *et al.*, 2007) have demonstrated that the planting density effect on root yield varies with cultivar. In other words, an increased planting density may increase, reduce, or maintain cassava root yield, depending on the cultivar that is evaluated.

The objective of this study was to evaluate root yield and other cassava traits as a response to increased planting density.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Martins Ranch, (-4° 50' 41" South and -37° 26' 52" West), located in the city of Aracati-CE, Brazil, during the period from February/2009 to January/2010. The ranch is located in the Mata Fresca region, an area that lies on the border between the states of Rio Grande do Norte and Ceará, by the BR 304 Road. According to Gaussen's bioclimatic classification, the climate in the region is classified as type 4ath, or distinctly xerothermic, which means tropical hot with a pronounced, long dry season, lasting from seven to eight months and with a xerothermic index between 150 and 200. The mean minimum temperature in the region is 32.1 °C and the maximum is 34.5 °C, with June and July as the coolest months, while the mean annual precipitation is around 825 mm (CARMO FILHO; OLIVEIRA, 1989). Insolation increases from March to October, with a mean of 241.7 h; the maximum relative humidity reaches 78% in April while the minimum is 60% in September. The soil in the area was classified as a Red-Yellow Argisol, according to the Brazilian Soil Classification System (EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA, 1999a). The analysis of a soil sample from the experiment area, conducted according to recommendations by Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (1999b), gave the following results: pH = 6.60; P = 139.0 mg dm⁻³; K⁺ = 101.0 mg dm⁻³; Ca²⁺ = 2.10 cmol_c dm⁻³; Mg²⁺ = 0.90 cmol_c dm⁻³; Al³⁺ = 0.00 cmol_c dm⁻³; Na⁺ = 5.6 mg dm⁻³, textural class corresponding to sand. The soil was tilled with a tractor, with two cross harrowings. The crop received between 1,200 and 1,500 mm water, applied by drip irrigation during three hours, on alternate days.

The planting material was produced at the ranch and was arranged horizontally in the planting furrows at a 0.10 m depth. Stem cuttings (*manivas*) were 0.15 m in length with five viable buds each, apparently in satisfactory health conditions. Vermelhinha Cultivar, a traditional variety widely grown in the region, was submitted to the following spacing between plant rows: 2.0 m (with spacing between plants of 1.0 m; 0.71 m; 0.55 m; and 0.45 m); 1.5 m (with spacing between plants of 0.51 m and 0.44 m), and 1.0 m (with spacing between plants of 0.58 m; 0.52 m; and 0.47 m). Those spacing combinations provided nine planting densities, varying from 5,000 plants ha⁻¹ (planting density used by farmers in the region) to 21,000 plants ha⁻¹, at intervals of 2,000 plants ha⁻¹.

Each plot consisted of four 6.0 m-long rows. The area employed to evaluate traits (usable area) was considered as the area occupied by the plants in the two central rows, with the elimination of plants from one pit at each end. A completely randomized block design with

four replicates was used. Plant height was evaluated monthly during six months, by measuring the distance from ground level to the plant's apex in all plants in the usable area. A randomized block design with split-plots (planting densities in plots) was adopted for the statistical analysis of plant height data.

The following traits were evaluated during harvest: height at first branching, stem diameter, mean number of roots, mean root diameter and length, total and marketable root yields, dry weight of leaves, stems + branches, and roots. Height at first branching and stem diameter were measured in all usable plants of the plot. Stem diameter was estimated from the average between two perpendicular measurements taken at 0.1 m above the ground with a digital caliper rule. Mean root diameter and length were evaluated in ten marketable roots obtained at random from the production of each plot. Total root yield corresponded to the weight of all roots produced in the usable area of each plot. Marketable root yield was obtained based on total root yield, disregarding very small roots or roots with significant deformations, selected by the grower himself. Two plants from the usable area of each plot were used to estimate leaf biomass and stems + branches biomass. The above-ground part was ground in a forage mill and a sample of approximately 500 g was placed in a forced air circulation oven adjusted to 75 °C until constant weight. In order to estimate root dry weight, "disks" around 0.05 m thick were obtained from the middle part and from the proximal and distal ends of five roots in each plot. The disks were placed in an oven, in a similar procedure as the one used to estimate dry biomass of the above-ground part. The harvest index was obtained by dividing dry matter weight of marketable roots by the plant's total dry matter weight.

The data for the traits under evaluation were submitted to analysis of variance and regression analysis. The analysis of variance was carried out using software developed by Federal University of Viçosa (RIBEIRO JÚNIOR, 2001). The regression analysis was conducted with software created by Jandel (1992). The regression equations were selected based on the following criteria: biological explanation of the phenomenon, simplicity of the equation, and significant effect of equation parameters by *Student's t* test at 5% significant level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The spacing variation between rows (BR) and between plants on the same row (BP) provided different plant arrangements, but no effect of the BR × BP interaction on cassava root yield was observed (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007). Such fact, together with the existence of BR and BP effects (ROJAS *et al.*, 2007) suggest that the number of plants

per unit area is more relevant than their distribution in the field. Whilst changes in planting density have usually produced a clear effect on cassava root yield, the crop appears to react much less to changes in planting pattern. The available information suggests that cassava is a rather flexible crop, keeping the same yield level, even when the strictly square arrangement is replaced by a variety of rectangular configurations (LEIHNER, 2002).

With respect to plant height, the analysis of variance (mean squares for blocks, densities, residue (a), measuring seasons, interaction, and residue (b) with values of 312; 1418; 804; 166.708; 217; and 109, respectively) indicated effects of measuring seasons (E) and of the planting densities × measuring seasons interaction at 1% probability, by the F test. The regression analysis also indicated a planting density effect, but that only occurred during the latest measuring season, i.e., at 180 days after planting (Table 1). In the initial seasons, increased planting densities did not influence plant height. Supporting this observation, Rojas *et al.* (2007) verified that for three spacing between rows (1.5 m, 2.0 m, and 3.0 m), plant height was only influenced from 90 days after planting, at the 1.5 m spacing. The discrepancies between the results of those authors and those observed in this study are due to genotypic (cultivars) and environmental differences (climate, soil, and planting densities tested). The equations adjusted for seasons, in each planting density, although having the same type of curve ($y^{-1} = a + b/x^2$), showed different coefficients (Table 2).

Planting density did not have an effect on root collar diameter, but influenced height at first branching and leaf and stems + branches green matter (Table 3). Increased planting densities increased height at first branching and leaf and stems + branches green matter. Those specific green matter yield values increased up to densities of 17,800 and 17,077 plants ha⁻¹, respectively, decreasing at higher densities (Table 4).

Planting density had an effect on total roots green matter yield and on green matter yield, number of roots, and marketable roots length, but did not influence the diameter of those roots (Table 5). Total root green matter (for both marketable and non-marketable roots), marketable roots green matter, and number of marketable roots increased as planting density increased, but decreased again after a certain density (Table 6). Reductions occurred above densities of 14,416; 13,594; and 16,436, respectively (Table 6). The planting density effect on root length was negative (Table 6). In other words, the mean marketable roots length decreased from the smallest to the highest planting density evaluated. It is interesting to point out that, by the fitted equation, it would be possible to obtain 15,837 kg ha⁻¹ of marketable roots using the

Table 1 - Plant height for cassava cv. Vermelhinha, as a function of planting density, at six ages

Planting densities (plants ha ⁻¹)	Ages (days after planting)					
	30	60	90	120	150	180
	cm					
5,000	13.5	41.3	72.9	115.4	142.9	162.8
7,000	11.1	38.0	71.3	115.5	139.6	161.8
9,000	10.7	37.4	73.9	125.1	154.7	180.8
11,000	11.5	39.7	78.6	127.9	157.6	184.1
13,000	12.3	38.8	76.6	129.8	162.3	189.5
15,000	10.7	36.6	73.7	127.1	158.0	189.9
17,000	12.2	36.4	72.9	131.7	170.4	205.9
19,000	12.2	29.9	54.9	103.6	140.9	175.8
21,000	12.2	41.5	84.9	140.3	176.9	207.2
Experimental coefficient of variation for plots = 29.3%						
Equation (x = density) ¹	y = 11.8	y = 37.7	y = 73.3	y = 124.0	y = 155.9	y = 235.39 - 171.54/x ^{0.5}
R ²	-	-	-	-	-	0.99

¹Parameters significant at 5% level by the t test**Table 2** - Plant height for cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities, as a function of age

Planting densities (plants ha ⁻¹)	Regression analysis	
	Equation (x = ages: 60; 90; 120; 150; and 180 days after planting; y = plant height, cm) ¹	R ²
5,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0037 + 76.03/x^2$	0.99
7,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0038 + 76.35/x^2$	0.99
9,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0031 + 76.34/x^2$	0.99
11,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0038 + 72.25/x^2$	0.99
13,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0029 + 74.08/x^2$	0.99
15,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0088 + 79.25/x^2$	0.99
17,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0023 + 81.30/x^2$	0.99
19,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0023 + 108.85/x^2$	0.99
21,000	$y^{-1} = 0.0026 + 68.26/x^2$	0.99
Experimental coefficient of variation for subplots = 10.8%		

¹Parameters significant at 5% level by the t test**Table 3** - Analysis of variance summary for root collar diameter, height at first branching, leaf green matter, and stems + branches green matter in cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities

Sources of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares			
		Root collar diameter	Height at first branching	Leaf green matter	Stems + branches green matter
Blocks	3	11.0	582.8	4,882,373.2	37,470,901.4
Planting densities	8	7.6 ^{ns}	204.9*	55,234,715.9*	340,129,776.2*
Residue	24	8.5	45.4	3,812,877.0	38,535,657.8

^{ns} *Non-significant and significant at 1% level, by the F test, respectively

Table 4 - Mean values for cassava traits, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities¹

Planting density (plants ha ⁻¹)	Root collar	Height at	Green matter (kg ha ⁻¹)	
	diameter (mm)	first branching	Leaves	Stems + branches
5,000	29.2	74	4,213	7,500
7,000	28.9	63	6,020	11,393
9,000	28.9	76	7,380	16,425
11,000	28.2	80	8,883	16,638
13,000	29.3	75	12,448	26,065
15,000	28.4	84	12,750	28,050
17,000	30.0	77	14,677	33,943
19,000	25.2	71	12,873	31,493
21,000	27.8	88	13,160	25,480
CV ² (%)	10.3	8.8	19.0	28.4
Equation (x = density) ¹	y = 28.4	y = 51.26 + 0.23 x 0.5	y = 0.000002 + 0.0132x - 0.00000037x ²	y-1 = 0.0005 + 0.000000028x - 0.0000073x ^{0.5}
R ²	-	0.61	0.96	0.96
Ideal density (plants ha ⁻¹)	-	-	17,800	17,077

¹Parameters significant at 5% level by the t test. Ideal density = density at which maximum yield is obtained; ²Experimental coefficient of variation

planting density adopted by growers (5,000 plants ha⁻¹). On the other hand, according to the same equation, by adopting the ideal density (that provided maximum yield) it would be possible to obtain 32,259 kg ha⁻¹ of marketable roots. This yield is a little higher than twice the yield obtained by growers.

Planting density had an effect on marketable roots dry matter, dry matter of the above-ground part (stems + branches + leaves), and harvest index (Table 7). Increased planting densities provided increases in marketable root dry matter and dry matter of the above-ground part (stems + branches + leaves) (Table 8). Maximum dry matter values would be reached at densities of 12,361 and 18,149 plants ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 8). Harvest index, i.e., the ratio between marketable root dry matter

and the plant's total dry matter decreased as planting density increased (Table 8).

The means for the agronomically more important traits evaluated in this study (green matter of leaves, stems + branches, total roots, marketable roots, number of marketable roots, and dry matter of marketable roots and the above-ground part) increased as planting density increased, up to a certain density (17,800; 17,077; 14,416; 13,594; 16,436; 12,361; and 18,149 plants ha⁻¹, respectively), after which the values started to decrease. This type of behavior is usually found in crops in general. At lower planting densities, there is a surplus of production factors (water, nutrients, and light), with a tendency for increased yields of roots, stems, and leaves. As planting densities increase, competition for those factors increases and, beyond a certain density, which varies with the trait being evaluated, yield values decrease.

Table 5 - Analysis of variance summary for total roots green matter yield, green matter yield of the number of roots, marketable roots length and diameter in cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities

Sources of variation	Degrees of freedom	Quadrados médios				
		Total roots green matter	Raízes comercializáveis			
			Green matter	Number	Length	Diameter
Blocks	3	74,692,844.3	56,569,545.7	92,569,430.2	17.4	0.23
Planting densities	8	172,114,828.1**	124,387,635.2*	338,718,660.3**	39.7*	0.13ns
Residue	24	44,253,287.7	47,338,901.3	56,839,563.8	15.0	0.16

ns, *, **Non-significant and significant at 5% and 1% level, by the F test, respectively

Table 6 - Means for traits of cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities¹

Planting densities (plants ha ⁻¹)	Total roots green	Marketable roots ha ⁻¹		Marketable roots (cm)	
	matter (kg ha ⁻¹)	Green matter (kg)	Number	Length	Diameter
5,000	18,388	16,088	19,625	38.3	6.10
7,000	27,130	25,356	24,769	38.6	6.09
9,000	30,515	28,303	31,675	37.8	6.01
11,000	31,474	27,874	31,904	36.2	6.24
13,000	40,162	36,051	41,863	37.7	6.29
15,000	38,893	33,243	48,054	34.3	6.23
17,000	36,263	30,767	43,590	33.6	5.87
19,000	32,665	28,489	38,535	30.8	5.82
21,000	32,136	28,075	37,144	30.6	5.84
CV ² (%)	20.8	24.4	21.4	11.0	6.7
Fitted equation (x = density)	$y^1 = 0.0002 + 0.000000102x - 0.0000024x^{0.5}$	$y = 51256.8 - 0.000034x^2 - 172850000/x$	$y = 12157.1 + 0.00036x^2 - 0.00000015x^3$	$y^2 = 1537.5 - 0.0000014x^2$	$y = 6.05$
R ²	0.91	0.87	0.92	0.93	-
Ideal density (plants ha ⁻¹)	14,416	13,594	16,436	-	-

¹Parameters significant at 5% level by the t test. Ideal density = density at which maximum yield values are obtained; ²Experimental coefficient of variation

Table 7 - Analysis of variance summary for dry matter yield of marketable roots and the above-ground part, and harvest index of cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities

Sources of variation	Degrees of freedom	Mean squares		
		Marketable roots dry matter	Dry matter of the above-ground part	Harvest index
Blocks	3	8,012,395.6	5,873,357.1	0.0068
Planting densities	8	19,665,839.7*	66,799,380.6**	0.0242**
Residue	24	168,968,768.5	6,044,666.8	0.0039

*,**Significant at 5% and 1% level by the F test, respectively

Above the soil surface, the competition between plants occurs for light and space. Higher plant densities would result in mutual shading, with reductions in photosynthesis rates, which would in turn result in smaller plant growth. However, other factors are involved. In order to compete successfully, plants exploit a range of phenotypic plastic responses that help them increase the “capture” of resources, thus increasing their adaptation during competition (VIOLLE *et al.*, 2009). These plastic responses comprise strategies that help prevent competitive interactions with neighboring plants, as well as strategies that inhibit the performance of nearby competitors (for example, by allelopathy) (NOVOPLANSKY, 2009).

In the competition for light, the responses that prevent competitive interactions consist in the development

of traits that prevent shading, such as low root/above-ground part ratios, thinner stems, and strong apical dominance with low branching intensity, which are traits that promote vertical stem growth, allowing the plants to rise above the branches of neighboring plants (KEGGE; PIERIK, 2009). In support of these observations, it was observed that increased planting densities decreased branch sizes, increased mortality of lower branches, made branch angles more acute, and decreased stem diameters in two tree species (ALCORN *et al.*, 2007). This would explain the higher plant (Table 1) and first branching heights (Table 3) observed at higher planting densities (Table 1).

The traits that “prevent shading” occur even when neighboring plants are very small and therefore

Table 8 - Means for traits of cassava, cv. Vermelhinha, submitted to different planting densities¹

Planting density (plants ha ⁻¹)	-----Dry matter (kg ha ⁻¹)-----		Harvest index
	Marketable roots	Above-ground part	
5,000	6,072	3,689	0.59
7,000	9,896	5,734	0.61
9,000	11,268	7,607	0.57
11,000	10,777	8,131	0.53
13,000	14,282	12,042	0.51
15,000	12,660	13,001	0.45
17,000	10,964	15,469	0.39
19,000	10,714	14,306	0.40
21,000	10,218	12,302	0.43
CV ² (%)	24.7	24.0	12.6
Fitted equation (x = density) ²	$y = 27041.7 - 0.5944 x - 90824000/x$	$y^{0.5} = 0.0000019 + 0.01308 x - 0.00000036 x^2$	$y = 0.6830 - 0.00001425 x$
R ²	0.82	0.94	0.88
Ideal density (plants ha ⁻¹)	12361	18149	-

¹Parameters significant at 5% level by the t test. Harvest index = ratio between marketable roots dry matter and total dry matter (leaves + stems + branches + marketable roots + unmarketable roots). Ideal density = density at which maximum yield values are obtained; ²Experimental coefficient of variation

do not cause shading (CALLAWAY, 2002). In other words, morphological changes that prevent shading occur before shading takes place, i.e., before photosynthesizing photon flux density becomes a limiting factor (RAJCAN; CHANDLER; SWANTON, 2004). The response of a plant to prevent shading caused by its neighbors would start when a low red/far-red (R/FR) ratio in the light reflected from the nearby vegetation is perceived (BALLARÉ, 1999). A reduced R/FR ratio is created by selective absorption of red light for photosynthesis and reflection of far-red light. The low R/FR ratio is perceived by photoreceptors, in a process that precedes actual shading and consequently precedes competition for light, and initiates the responses that prevent shading. Light quality also influences the architecture of the plant's above-ground part (RAJCAN; CHANDLER; SWANTON, 2004). *Portulaca oleracea* L. plantlets grow towards low far-red radiation and get away from neighboring plants (high far-red radiation) (CALLAWAY, 2002). In addition to a perception of low R/FR ratios, there is evidence that in the presence of neighboring plants, alterations occur in the emission of biogenic, volatile organic compounds (produced by plants). These compounds may act both as allelochemicals and as signals for detection of neighboring plants (KEGGE; PIERIK, 2009).

Below the soil surface, competition occurs for water, nutrients, and space. In addition to the signals

emitted by plants above the soil surface, detection of neighboring plants also involves several signals below the ground, such as water and/or nutrient depletions, as a consequence of effective absorption by neighboring root systems (SCHENK, 2006) and organic exudates excreted by neighboring plants (BAIS *et al.*, 2006). Competition between roots may affect the availability of a given resource for plants, either by depletion of that resource or by mechanisms that inhibit the access of other roots to the resource (by allelopathy) (SCHENK, 2006). Root competition is more intense under resource-poor conditions (PUGNAIRE; LUQUE 2001).

There must be interactions between the plants' above-ground part and root system in the competition between plants for light, water, nutrients, and space (CAHILL, 2002). These interactions would influence growth of the various plant organs differently. In the competition for light, stem growth in height demands resources that would be used for the formation of roots and leaves (HENRY; AARSSSEN, 1997). On the other hand, the water deficiency caused by competition between roots would induce the closure of stomata, resulting in photosynthesis reduction (SILVA; VARGAS; WERLANG, 2004), reducing the growth of roots, stems, and leaves. These would explain the yield reductions of roots, stems, and leaves observed in this study beyond certain planting densities (Tables 2 to 4).

CONCLUSIONS

1. Increased planting densities only increased plant height at 180 days after planting;
2. The ideal planting densities to maximize green matter of leaves and stems + branches, total roots (both marketable and unmarketable), marketable roots, number of marketable roots, marketable root dry matter, and dry matter of the above-ground part (stems + branches + leaves) were 17,800; 17,077; 14,416; 13,594; 16,436; 12,361; and 18,149 plants ha⁻¹, respectively;
3. By adopting the planting density commonly used by growers (5,000 plants ha⁻¹), a yield of 15,837 kg ha⁻¹ marketable roots was obtained. Using the ideal planting density identified in this study (13,594 plants ha⁻¹), we obtained more than twice the yield value obtained by growers;
4. Increased planting densities reduced the mean length of marketable roots and the harvest index.

REFERENCES

- ALCORN, P. J. *et al.* Effects of initial planting density on branch development in 4-year-old plantation grown *Eucalyptus pilularis* and *Eucalyptus cloenziana* trees. **Forest Ecology and Management**, v. 252, n. 1, p. 41-51, 2007.
- AYOOLA, O. T.; MAKINDE, E. A. Fertilizer treatment effects on performance of cassava under two planting patterns in a cassava-based cropping system in South West Nigeria. **Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences**, v. 3, n. 1, p. 13-20, 2007.
- AYOOLA, O. T.; MAKINDE, E. A. Influence of cassava population density on the growth and yield performance of cassava-maize intercrop with a relayed cowpea. **Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems**, v. 8, n. 3, p. 235-241, 2008.
- BAIS, H. P. *et al.* The role of root exudates in rhizosphere interactions with plants and other organisms. **Annual Review of Plant Biology**, v. 57, n. 1, p. 233-266, 2006.
- BALLARÉ, C. L. Keeping up with the neighbours: phytochrome sensing and other signaling mechanisms. **Trends in Plant Science**, v. 4, n. 1, p. 97-102, 1999.
- CAHILL, J. F. Interactions between root and shoot competition vary among species. **Oikos**, v. 99, n. 1, p. 101-112, 2002.
- CALLAWAY, R. M. The detection of neighbors by plants. **Trends in Ecology and Evolution**, v. 17, n. 1, p. 104-105, 2002.
- CARMOFILHO, F.; OLIVEIRA, O. F. de. Mossoró: um município do semi-árido nordestino. Mossoró: Fundação Guimarães Duque/ESAM, 1989. 62 p. (Coleção Mossoroense, 672. Série B).
- EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa do Solo. **Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos**. Brasília: Serviço de Produção de Informação, 1999a. 412 p.
- EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA. **Manual de análises químicas de solos, plantas e fertilizantes**. Brasília: Embrapa, 1999b. 370 p.
- GUERRA, A. F. *et al.* **Produtividade e qualidade de raízes de mandioca em resposta ao regime hídrico e a densidade de plantio**. Planaltina: Embrapa, 2003. 12 p.
- HENRY, H. A. L.; AARSSSEN, L. W. On the relationship between shade tolerance and shade avoidance in woodland plants. **Oikos**, v. 80, n. 3, p. 575-582, 1997.
- KEGGE, W.; PIERIK, R. Biogenic volatile organic compounds and plant competition. **Trends in Plant Science**, v. 15, n. 3, p. 126-132, 2009.
- JANDEL. **Jandel TBLCURVE. Table Curve 3.0. Curve fitting software**. Corte Madera: Jandel Scientific, 1992. 280 p.
- LEIHNER, D. Agronomy and cropping systems. *In*: HILLOCKS, R. J.; THRESH, J. M.; BELLOTI, A. C. Cassava: biology, production and utilization. Oxon: CAB International, 2002. cap. 6, p. 91-112.
- LÓPEZ-BELLIDO, F. J.; LÓPEZ-BELLIDO, L.; LÓPEZ-BELLIDO, R. J. Competition, growth and yield of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). **European Journal of Agronomy**, v. 23, n. 2, p. 359-378, 2005.
- LIMA, L. C. L. *et al.* Valor nutritivo da parte aérea da mandioca (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) em função da densidade e altura da planta. **Magistra**, v. 14, n. 2, p. 89-96, 2002.
- NOVOPLANSKY, A. Picking battles wisely: plant behavior under competition. **Plant Cell and Environment**, v. 32, n. 6, p. 726-741, 2009.
- OPARA-NADI, O.; LAL, R. Effects of plant population on soil structure, soil moisture depletion and on yield of cassava (*Manihot esculenta*) on an Ultisol in Southeast Nigeria. **Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture**, v. 38, n. 4, p. 291-302, 2008.
- PUGNAIRE, F. I.; LUQUE, M. T. Changes in plant interactions along a gradient of environmental stress. **Oikos**, v. 93, n. 1, p. 42-49, 2001.
- RAJCAN, I.; CHANDLER, K. J.; SWANTON, C. J. Red-far-red ratio of reflected light: a hypothesis of why early-season weed control is important in corn. **Weed Science**, v. 52, n. 5, p. 774-778, 2004.
- RIBEIRO JÚNIOR, J. I. **Análises estatísticas no SAEG**. Viçosa: Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 2001. 301 p.
- ROJAS, R. *et al.* Efecto de la densidad de plantación sobre el desarrollo y rendimiento del cultivo de la yuca *Manihot esculenta* Crantz, bajo las condiciones agroecológicas de la Altiplanicie de Maracaibo. **Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía**, v. 24, n. 1, p. 94-112, 2007.
- SCHENK, H. J. Root competition: beyond resource depletion. **Journal of Ecology**, v. 94, n. 4, p. 725-739, 2006.
- SILVA, A. A. da; VARGAS, L.; WERLANG, R. C. Manejo de plantas daninhas na cultura do milho. *In*: GALVÃO, J. C. C.; MIRANDA, G. V. **Tecnologias de produção do milho**. Viçosa: UFV, 2004. cap. 8, p. 269-310.
- VIOLLE, C. *et al.* Competition, traits and resource depletion in plant communities. **Oecologia**, v. 160, n. 4, p. 747-755, 2009.